### LABOUR DEPARTMENT

## ORDER

## The 9th September, 1983

No. ID/BHN/52-83/46662.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Disputes exists between the workman Shri Parkash Chand and the management of Haryana Roadways, Jind, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO-(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970, read with Government Notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Parkash Chand was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

M. C. GUPTA,

Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Department.

#### LABOUR DEPARTMENT

#### Order

# The 15th September, 1983

No. ID/FD/103-83/48215.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial disputes exists between the workmen and the management of M/s Faridabad Bolt Tight Industries, Pvt. Ltd., Plot No. 43, Sector-4, Ballabgarh, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter (s) in dispute or matters s) relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the workmen are entitled to grant of Bonus for the years 1981-82? If so, with what details?

K. C. VERMA,

Commissioner and Secretary to Government Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments.

## LABOUR COURT

## Order

# The 16th September, 1983

No. ID/YMN/207-82/48597.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Municipality Yamuna Nagar regarding, the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer tee dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter (s) specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

- 1. Whether the following categories of workman of the Municipal Committee Yamunanagar are entitled to the same scale of pay which the Haryana Government has sanctioned for those categories of employees w. e. f. 1st April, 1979? If so, with what details?
  - (1) Workmen of Fire Bridgade.

- (2) Malies.
- .(3) Road gang workmen.
- 2. Whether the workmen who were provided with winter uniforms in April, 1982 are entitled to the sewing charges? If so, with what details?
- 3. Whether the Octroi Moharurs are entitled to cycle allowance? If so, with what details?
- 4. Whether the Peons belonging to Improvement Trust and working as Peons in the Municipal Committee are entitled to same scale of pay as other peons of the Municipal Committee, Yamuna Nagar? If so, with what detais?

#### ASHOK PAHWA,

Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Dpeartment.

#### LABOUR DEPARTMENT

The 6th September, 1983

No. ID/YMN/141-83/45717.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman, Shri Murari Lal and the management of M/s Kalya Saw Mills, Khjuri Road, Yamunanagar, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Murari Lal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entiled?

No. ID/YMN/6-83/45723.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman, Shri Babu Ram and the management of the Haryana Roadways, Yamunanagar, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government Notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with Notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated the 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Babu Ram, was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/GGN/88-83/45729.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Ch nder and the management of the Nuh Central Coop. Consumer Store Ltd., Nuh district Gurgaon (ii) The Haryana State Federation of Consumers Coop. Wholesale Stores Ltd., Chandigarh, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government Notification No. 11495-G-Lab-57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Chander was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?